

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/661,188	09/13/2000	Hannes Eberle	53470.000020	8189
29315	7590 11/14/2003		EXAMINER	
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC			PHAN, JOSEPH T	
12010 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 900		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RESTON, VA 20190			2645	77
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003	$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	" ·			<
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\overline{}$
•	• 4.	09/661,188	EBERLE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Joseph T Phan	2645	
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to r - Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. d for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we eply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communicatio NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	o n.
1)⊠ R€	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 26 J	<u>lune 2003</u> .		
2a) 🗌 Th	is action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
	nce this application is in condition for allowance this application is in condition for allowance with the practice under the conditions.			is
4)⊠ Cla	im(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	l.		
4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5)□ Cla	im(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) <u></u> Cla	im(s) is/are objected to.			
	im(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Application I	•			
	specification is objected to by the Examine			
	drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2003</u> is/are: a)	•		
	plicant may not request that any objection to the	*, ,	` '	
	proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.	
	approved, corrected drawings are required in repoath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	armier.		
	nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	. maionita	(a) (d) a = (f)	
	II b) \square Some * c) \square None of:	i priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119	(a)-(u) or (i).	
م بـــاره 1		s have been received		
2.□	_		otion No	
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	rity documents have been recei reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ived in this National Stage	
	he attached detailed Office action for a list	·		
	owledgment is made of a claim for domestion. The translation of the foreign language pro	•		ion).
	owledgment is made of a claim for domesti			
Attachment(s)				
2) 🔲 Notice of 🛭	References Cited (PTO-892) Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>19</u>	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/661,188

Art Unit: 2645

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wolfe et al., Patent #6,507,817.

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Wolfe teaches a system and method for generating an active voice page that provides at least one interactive and real-time voice service to at least one user, the active voice page comprising at least one markup language document (Fig.3 and col.8 lines 7-44), the system and method comprising:

a subscription interface that enables the at least one user to subscribe to at least one voice service, wherein each user specifies at least personalized content associated with the at least one voice service(col.2 lines 19-43 and col.7 line 57-col.8 line 44); an input module that receives data reports and a structure for the at least one voice service and a markup language converting module that converts the data reports and the structure into a markup language(62 and 64 Fig.1, col.3 lines 17-40 and col.8 lines 7-44); and a blending module for blending the converted data reports and the converted structure into the active voice page, wherein the personalized content is

Art Unit: 2645

conveyed to the at least one user and the at least one user may respond to the at least one voice service (col.3 lines 28-40 and col.8 lines 7-44; the personalized content is conveyed to the user and response interaction can occur).

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Wolfe teaches the system and method of claims 1 and 9, wherein the markup language is extensible markup language (col. 6 lines 32-39)

Regarding claims 3 and 11, Wolfe teaches the system and method of claims 1 and 9, wherein the markup language is TML (col.6 lines 32-67; TML is telephony markup language which this is).

Regarding claims 4 and 12, Wolfe teaches the system and method of claims 1 and 9, wherein the data reports are online analytical processing system reports (col.6 lines 19-67)

Regarding claims 5 and 13, Wolfe teaches the system and method of claims 1 and 9, wherein the markup language converting module comprises a markup language generator that receives the structure and generates a markup language document from the structure (col.6 lines 32-67)

Regarding claims 6 and 14, Wolfe teaches the system and method of claims 1 and 9, wherein the markup language converting module comprises a markup language transforming module that receives data reports from the input module and transforms the data reports into markup language documents (col.6 lines 32-67).

Regarding claims 7 and 15, Wolfe teaches the system and method of claims 6 and 14, wherein the markup language transforming module receives stylesheets from

the input module and transforms the data reports into markup language documents using the stylesheets (col.7 lines 1-36).

Regarding claims 8 and 16, Wolfe teaches the system and method of claims 1 and 9 further comprising: receiving one or more style properties that are unique to the at least one user and generating a call request for the at least one user from the active voice page and the style properties (col.7 lines 1-36).

Regarding claims 17 and 18, Wolfe teaches the system and method of claims 1 and 9 further comprising a monitoring module that is adapted to monitor predetermined conditions initiate execution of the at least one voice service (Fig.1and col.8 lines 31-44)

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ladd et al., Patent #6,269,336 teaches a voice browser for interactive services with TML.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph T Phan whose telephone number is 703-305-3206. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:30-6:30, in every other Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/661,188

Art Unit: 2645

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang can be reached on 703-305-4895. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600.

JTP October 22, 2003

> FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600